

Supported by a grant from Iceland, Liechtenstein and Norway through the EEA Financial Mechanism and the Norwegian Financial Mechanism

A/CZ0046/2/0013 ASSESSMENT OF HISTORICAL IMMOVABLES

# Assessment of bridges registered as industrial heritage

J. Markova, M. Holicky & M. Sykora, CTU in Prague K. Kvaal & T. Thiis, Norwegian University of Life Sciences June 2010



Introduction General aspects of the assessment Target reliability Conclusions



# Introduction

• *Industrial heritage* - structures of significant architectural, historic or technological value (350 bridges in the Czech Republic)

•*Rehabilitation of existing reinforced concrete road bridges* including those registered as industrial heritage - urgent issue

• Simplified conservative procedures based on design methods - *expensive repairs* and losses of cultural heritage value

• Decisions - reliability assessment considering *deterioration* and *increasing traffic* loads

• The *present study*:

- *methods* for the *reliability assessment* of existing reinforced concrete bridges considering EN 1990, EN 1991-2, ISO 13822 and ISO 2394

- general framework for specification of optimum target reliability
- Application numerical *example*

#### ASRANet2010-Conference Markova et al. - Assessment of bridges registered as industrial heritage 2

# Initiatives concerning the industrial heritage

• Protection of the industrial heritage - *multidisciplinary topic* (historical, architectonic, civil engineering and ecological aspects)

- In 1978 the *International Committee on the Conservation of the Industrial Heritage* (TICCIH) founded to study, protect, conserve and explain the remains of industrialization
- In the Czech Republic *Research Centre for Industrial Heritage* coordinating platform for architects and civil engineers, database of the Czech industrial monuments, search for new uses of industrial heritage

• The Czech Technical University in Prague and the University of Applied Sciences in Ås (Norway) - research *project Assessment of historical immovables*, mainly focused on assessment of the industrial heritage structures

ASRANet2010-Conference Markova et al. - Assessment of bridges registered as industrial heritage 3

### General aspects of the assessment

- Minimisation of construction interventions, but sufficient reliability
- Social and cultural aspects loss of *cultural and heritage values*
- Economic aspects *additional costs* of measures to increase reliability of an existing bridge and *decommissioning costs*
- Principles of the *sustainable development* waste reduction and recycling of materials

• Lack of information - *testing* of material properties *expensive*, but important (variability, changes due to deterioration and damage)

- Significant uncertainties *deterministic* design *procedures conservative* (expensive repairs, losses of cultural and heritage value)
- *Probabilistic procedure* proposed to:
  - improve the reliability assessment
  - describe better the uncertainties
  - allow for inclusion of results of inspections, testing and
  - consideration of the satisfactory past performance

# Time-variant reliability analysis

- *Resistance* described by a *monotonically decreasing function* (initial resistance, degradation function)
- *Traffic load* rectangular wave *renewal process* (no intermittencies)
- New information I related to structural conditions:
- inspections, measurements (deterioration, materials, geometry)
- consideration of the satisfactory past performance



# Target reliability

- *ISO 2394* moderate consequences of failure and moderate costs of safety measures  $\beta_t = 3.1$ , empirical models broad range 2.7 3.4
- Economic point of view minimisation of the total working-life cost:
  - preventative *inspections* and *maintenance*
  - repairs:
    - -- direct cost surveys, design, construction, loss of the cultural heritage value
    - -- indirect cost economic losses due to traffic restrictions and detours, environmental consequences (increased emissions)
  - failure consequences:
  - -- direct cost of repair or replacement, loss of the cultural heritage value
  - -- indirect economic losses, societal consequences, unfavourable environmental and psychological effects
- Failure consequences approximated by ratio  $\rho = (C_f + C_0) / C_0$  (JCSS)

# Numerical example

- *Reliability* of a reinforced concrete bridge -30 years since last major rehabilitation, repeated application of de-icing salts
- $\bullet$  Deterministic verification actual resistance lower by 30 % than required by Eurocodes
- Probabilistic model for traffic loads based on data collected within the *development of EN 1991-2*

Symbol	Variable	Unit	Distr.	Mean	CoV
$A_{\rm s}$	Reinforcement area	m <sup>2</sup> /m	Ν	$A_{\rm s,nom}$	0.03
$f_{\rm y}$	Yield strength of reinforcement	MPa	LN0	560	0.054
С	Concrete cover	mm	Gamma	60	0.17
$f_{c}$	Concrete compressive strength	MPa	LN0	37.5	0.13
$\theta_R$	Model uncertainty of resistance	-	Ν	1.1	0.08
Q	Traffic load (annual extreme)	MNm/m	LN0	0.28	0.15
G	Permanent action	MNm/m	N	0.30	0.03

ASRANet2010-Conference Markova et al. - Assessment of bridges registered as industrial heritage 7

# Reliability analysis

• *Limit state* function (bending moment):  $Z(t) = \theta_R R[R_0, g(t)] - G - Q(t)$ 



Time since the last major rehabilitation in years

#### Optimum repair strategy - cost minimisation



#### Conclusions

• Reliability verifications of industrial heritage bridges should be backed up by *inspection* including collection of appropriate *data*.

• *Probabilistic methods* can be applied to describe uncertainties and take into account results of inspections and the satisfactory past performance.

• *Target reliability levels* are primarily dependent on costs of safety measures and consequences of failure including loss of the cultural heritage value.

- Total *cost optimisation* may be used to specify target reliability levels.
- Numerical example indicates that the reliability level is considerably decreasing when the *deterioration* is taken into account.
- The target reliability index for the analysed bridge is assessed to be 2.8.

• Applications of the cost optimisation in practice should be based on carefully formulated *objective functions*, well assessed *costs*, specified *reference period* and the discount rate.



Supported by a grant from Iceland, Liechtenstein and Norway through the EEA Financial Mechanism and the Norwegian Financial Mechanism

A/CZ0046/2/0013 ASSESSMENT OF HISTORICAL IMMOVABLES

#### J. Markova et al. Assessment of bridges registered as industrial heritage



# Thank you for your attention.